

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 09/923,727First Named Applicant: Randy Keith LomnesExaminer: Sheng Jen TsaiArt Unit: 2186Status of Application: Pending**Tentative Participants:**(1) Ellen M. Bierman (2) Sheng Jen Tsai(3) SPE Matthew Kim (4) _____**Proposed Date of Interview:** TBD (at
Examiner's convenience)**Proposed Time:** after 10 am PST (AM/PM)**Type of Interview Requested:**(1) ☒ Telephonic (2) ☐ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference**Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ YES ☒ NO**If yes, provide brief description:** _____

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) 103(a) Rejections	3-9, 11, 13- 15, 30-40, 53-54, 56- 66, 71-79, 81, 84-101	Harish et al.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☒ Continuation Sheet Attached**Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:**


Rejections of claims 3-9, 11, 13-15, 30-40, 53-54, 56-66, 71-79, 81, and 84-101 and Applicant's arguments in support of allowance of these claims over Harish et al.

Rejections of claims 16-23, 31, 41-46, 49, 65, and 70 and Applicant's arguments in support of allowance of these claims over Harish et al. in view of Kobayashi et al.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____.**NOTE:**

This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.33(b)) as soon as possible:



Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

Ellen M. Bierman

Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative

38,079

Registration Number, if applicable

Continuation Sheet of Issues to be Discussed

Issues To Be Discussed					
Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(2) 103(a) Rejections	16-23, 31, 41-46, 49, 65, 70	Harish et al. in view of Kobayashi et al.	[]	[]	[]
(3) _____	_____	_____	[]	[]	[]
(4) _____	_____	_____	[]	[]	[]

1295027_1.DOC